

# RAJASTHAN ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

**Petition No: RERC/2031/22**

In the matter of Petition for review of Commission's Order dated 23.06.2022 passed in the Petition No. 1980/22 for Truing up of ARR for FY 2020-21 for KTPS (Units 1-7), STPS (Units 1-6), CTPP (Units 1-4), KaTPP (Units 1-2), RGTPS (270.50 MW), Mahi Hydel and approval of ARR & Tariff for FY 2022-23 for KTPS (Units 1-7), STPS (Units 1-6), CTPP (Units 1-4), KaTPP (Units 1-2), RGTPS (270.5 MW), Mahi Hydel Power Stations of RVUN.

**Coram:**                      **Dr. B.N. Sharma,**                      **Chairman**  
   **Sh. Hemant Kumar Jain,** **Member**  
   **Dr. Rajesh Sharma,**                      **Member**

Petitioner:                      Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Utpadan Nigam Ltd.

Respondents:

1. Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd.
2. Ajmer Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd.
3. Jodhpur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd.
4. Rajasthan Urja Vikas Nigam Ltd.

Date of hearing:              10.08.2022, 01.09.2022, 20.09.2022 and 03.11.2022

Present:

1. Sh. Ankit Sharma, Representative for Petitioner
2. Sh. Sandeep Pathak, Advocate for Respondents
3. Sh. G. L. Sharma, Stakeholder

**ORDER**

1. Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Utpadan Nigam Ltd. (hereinafter referred as 'RVUN' or "Petitioner"), has filed the instant Petition under Section 94 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for review of Commission's Order dated 23.06.2022 in Petition No. 1980/22 approved for Truing up of ARR for FY 2020-21 for KTPS (Units 1-7), STPS (Units 1-6), CTPP (Units 1-4), KaTPP (Units 1-2), RGTPS (270.5 MW), Mahi Hydrel and approval of ARR & Tariff for FY 2022-23 for KTPS (Units 1-7), STPS (Units 1-6), CTPP (Units 1-4), KaTPP (Units 1-2), RGTPS (270.5 MW), and Mahi Hydrel Power Stations of RVUN.
2. Notices were issued through Online Portals to Respondents to file reply on the instant petition. Accordingly, Discoms submitted their comments/suggestions on 31.08.2022. Objection/comments from stakeholders were received on 19.09.2022. RVUN submitted its replies to Discoms objections and stakeholder's objections on 12.09.2022 and 20.09.2022 respectively. Commission during the hearing on 03.11.2022, directed the petitioner to submit the impact of the proposed RoE on their Tariff within three days time. RVUN accordingly submitted the same vide its letter dated 04.11.2022.
3. The matter was heard on 20.09.2022 and 03.11.2022. Shri Ankit Sharma, Representative appeared for the Petitioner. Sh. Sandeep Pathak, Advocate, appeared for the Respondents and Sh. G.L. Sharma appeared as stakeholder.
4. RVUN has filed the petition seeking review of the said order on the following issues:

**Truing up of ARR for FY 2020-21**

- (i) Interest on Term Loan for FY 2020-21;

- (ii) Undischarged Liability for CTPP Units 3 & 4 in additional capitalization for FY 2020-21;

**ARR and Tariff for FY 2022-23**

- (i) Return on Equity for FY 2022-23.
5. The submissions of Petitioner, Respondents and Stakeholder on each issue are summarized as below:

**Truing up of ARR for FY 2020-21**

**Issue No. (i) : Interest on Term Loan for FY 2020-21**

**RVUN's Submission**

6. RVUN has claimed the interest rate of 11.34%, 12.12%, 11.25%, 10.85% and 11.23% for KTPS (Units 1-7), STPS (Units 1-6), CTPP (Units 1-4), KaTPP (Units 1-2), and RGTPP (160 MW) respectively. However, the Commission has considered the weighted average rate of interest after deducting penal interest which is not in accordance with Regulation 32 of the RERC Tariff Regulations, 2019.
7. RVUN has huge outstanding payments dues from Discoms. The penalties had arisen due to non-payment by Discoms and hence, it is beyond the control of RVUN. The comparison of interest and finance charges claimed and approved by the Commission is as under:

**Table 1: Interest and finance charges for FY 2020-21**

<b>Station</b>	<b>Interest and finance charges claimed (A)</b>	<b>Interest and finance charges approved (B)</b>	<b>Disallowance (A-B)</b>
KTPS	25.38	25.26	0.12
STPS	34.59	34.47	0.12
CTPP	246.10	244.98	1.12
KaTPP	467.66	466.09	1.57
RGTPP	42.65	42.10	0.55
Mahi	0.01	0.01	0.00
Total	816.39	812.91	3.48

8. The issue is not a new fact, therefore, the review is admissible under Section

94(1)(f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 and clause (c) of Rule 1 of CPC of Order no. XL VII under the provision of “any other sufficient reason”.

9. RVUN has requested the Commission to allow the interest and finance charges with consideration of penalties which is beyond the control of RVUN as per Regulation 32 of the RERC Tariff Regulations, 2019.

### **Respondents Objections/Comments**

10. The Review Petition filed by RVUN under Section 94(1)(f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 is not maintainable as the grounds provided under the said Section are to be read in accordance with the general powers of review provided under CPC Order 47 Rule 1. The basic requirement for entertaining any Review Petition is that there must be an error apparent on the face of the record. No such error has been committed in the Commission's order. The review jurisdiction cannot be invoked to seek appeal of the order passed or to seek additional or fresh directions from the Commission. The prayers made by the Petitioner reveal that it is seeking additional directions from the Commission, which is not permissible under review jurisdiction. Thus, the Review on this issue is not maintainable.
11. The Petitioner has raised the issue of interest and finance charges wherein the penal charges have not been considered by the Commission. The Commission has approved the interest and finance charges after due consideration of the provisions of the Regulations. In accordance with Regulation 21 of the RERC Tariff Regulations, 2019, interest is allowable only on the normative loan computed in accordance with the Regulations and the interest rate has to be considered as the weighted average rate of the actual loan portfolio. The fresh interpretation of the provisions of the Regulations sought by the Petitioner cannot be done by way of a Review Petition. The adjudication/determination once done cannot be allowed to

be reopened under Section 94(1)(f) of the Electricity Act, 2003.

### **Stakeholder's Objections/Comments**

12. The consumers cannot be burdened with the penal interest charges which are due to inability of the Petitioner in making the timely payments to the lenders.
13. As regards the penalties accrued due to non-payment of dues by Discoms, the same is not relevant here. In case of late payment of energy bills by the Discoms, they are liable to pay late payment surcharge to RVUN which is much higher than the penal interest charges paid by RVUN to its lenders. Further, Commission does not consider LPS as part of income in true up exercise, therefore penal interest, if any, should also not be considered as expenditure in the True up.
14. The interest and finance charges have been approved by the Commission in accordance with Regulation 21 of the RERC Tariff Regulations, 2019 and therefore, review in this matter does not arise.
15. Hon'ble Supreme Court and Appellate Tribunal for Electricity (APTEL) in various Judgements have laid down the principles under which the review is permitted. The Petitioner has not submitted any grounds for seeking review of the Commission's Order. Hence, the Review Petition is not maintainable.

### **Issue No. (ii) - Undischarged Liability for CTPP Units 3&4 in additional capitalization for FY 2020-21**

#### **RVUN's Submission**

16. The Commission in the Tariff Order ruled as under:

*"4.59 RVUN claimed the additional capitalization of Rs. 0.70 Crore for CTPP Units 1-4 for FY 2020-21. The details of the additional capitalization are as follows:*

- i. Rs. 0.60 Crore towards undischarged liability;
- ii. Rs. 0.07 Crore towards purchase of furniture and fixtures;
- iii. Rs. 0.03 Crore towards purchase of office equipment's.

*RVUN submitted that the aforesaid additional capitalization towards undischarged liability has been approved by the Commission vide Order dated 08.03.2019. Further, RVUN submitted that as per Regulation 17 (2) (d) of the RERC Tariff Regulations, 2019 the liability for the works executed prior to the cut-off date are to be allowed. Therefore, RVUN requested the Commission to allow undischarged liability released during FY 2020-21."*

17. The work was relating to laying, termination and glanding of instrumentation cable and supply & installation of cable tray along with supports for boiler turbine portion.
18. The undischarged liability towards M/s Indure was Rs. 38.98 Crore at the end of FY 2019-20. During FY 2020-21, the amount of Rs. 0.60 Crore was carried out through risk cost basis, hence the undischarged liability of M/s Indure became Rs. 38.38 Crore after discharge of Rs. 0.60 Crore.
19. The Commission, in its Order dated 08.03.2019 in Petition No. 1334/18, had approved the undischarged liability of Rs. 83.63 Crore and hence in-principle approval does not arise and the claimed amount of Rs. 0.60 Crore is allowable.
20. However, the Commission has not taken RVUN's submissions into consideration and did not allow the undischarged liability of Rs. 0.60 Crore claimed by RVUN. The claimed amount is allowable as per Regulation 17(2)(d) of the RERC Tariff Regulations, 2019.
21. RVUN therefore has requested the Commission to allow the additional capitalization of Rs. 0.60 Crore under Regulation 17(2)(d) of the RERC Tariff Regulations, 2019. The error is apparent as the Order is silent on RVUN's

submissions.

### **Respondents Objections/Comments**

22. The Commission's view on the undischarged liability is based on the material on record and prudence check in accordance with Regulation 17 of the RERC Tariff Regulations, 2019. If the Petitioner is aggrieved with said view, the appropriate remedy is not available under Section 94(1)(f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 and the review is not maintainable. It is a settled position of law that even if two views are possible and the authority takes one of the possible views, it cannot be said to be an error apparent on the face of the record.

### **Stakeholder's Objections/Comments**

23. As per Petitioner's submissions, the AG Audit had raised audit para during their audit of FY 2013-14 wherein it was advised to recover the amount of Rs. 60.55 Lakh from the BoP contractor (M/s Indure Pvt. Ltd.) of CTPP Units 3&4. Therefore, the additional capitalization claimed by RVUN towards undischarged liability is imprudent. RVUN is claiming the said amount as additional capitalization instead of recovering from contractor as per audit para.

24. In accordance with Regulation 17(2)(a) of the RERC Tariff Regulations, 2019 the generating company shall file a Petition for in-principle approval of the Commission before incurring additional capital expenditure. The Petitioner has not filed any Petition in compliance to the same. The claim of the Petitioner is not maintainable.

25. As regards the additional capitalization for CTPP Units 3&4, the Commission in the impugned Order dated 23.06.2022 ruled as under:

*"4.69 Regarding additional capitalization claimed towards CTPP (Units 1-4), KaTPP (Units 1-2), the Commission is of the view that in accordance with the Regulation 2(15) of the RERC Tariff Regulations, 2019, the cut-off date of these stations have already been over. Hence, the Commission has not approved the additional capitalization claimed by RVUN for the same separately. However, the additional capitalisation for CTPP and KaTPP has been considered under actual O&M expenditure in the above paragraphs."*

26. As can be seen from the above, the additional capitalization for CTPP Units 3&4 was beyond the cut-off date and Commission had given its ruling on the issue, hence there is no merit in the submissions of RVUN for review. Therefore, the claim for review should be disallowed.

### **Commission's view**

27. Commission observes that review of an order may be considered by the Commission under section 94 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Order No. XL VII Rule 1 of Civil Procedure Code, on the following grounds:

- a) Discovery of new and important matter or evidence which after exercise of due diligence was not in the knowledge of the applicant and could not be produced by him at the time when the decree or order was passed.
- b) Some mistake or error apparent on the face of the record, and
- c) For any other sufficient reason.

28. Further, the ratio decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in its various decisions for exercise of the power of review, has been culled out by Hon'ble APTEL in judgment dt. 17.04.2013 in the matter of Ajmer Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited Vs Rajasthan State Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr. in RP No.12 of 2012 in Appeal No.17 of 2012.

29. In view of above orders, it is observed that on the issue of Interest on Term



Loan for FY 2020-21 and Undischarged Liability for CTPP Units 3&4 in additional capitalization for FY 2020-21, RVUN is only rearguing the case and seeking revision of Commission's order without pointing out any error apparent on the face of the record.

30. The Commission vide order dated 23.06.2022 has given detailed reasoning on this issue of Interest on Term Loan for FY 2020-21 and Undischarged Liability for CTPP Units 3&4 in additional capitalization for FY 2020-21, there is nothing new to be considered on the same issue for which review is sought by the Petitioner.
31. As RVUN could neither point out any apparent error nor provided any new information which satisfies the conditions for review on the issue of Interest on Term Loan for FY 2020-21 and Undischarged Liability for CTPP Units 3&4 in additional capitalization for FY 2020-21, the submissions of RVUN are not maintainable in this matter. Hence, prayer of Petitioner on these issues is disallowed.

### **ARR and Tariff for FY 2022-23**

#### **Issue No. (i) – Return on Equity (RoE) for FY 2022-23**

##### **RVUN's Submission**

32. The Commission in the Tariff Order for FY 2022-23 dated 23.06.22 has not considered the RoE claimed by RVUN due to non-availability of specific document from Government of Rajasthan (GoR) for claiming RoE. However, the Commission granted liberty to RVUN to come up with the requisite document from GoR for the claim against RoE in the true-up Petition for FY 2022-23.
33. As per clause (a) of CPC rules, review can be filed on "Discovery of new and important matter of evidence which after exercise of due diligence was not in the knowledge of the applicant and could not be produced by him at

the time when the decree or order was passed".

34. The Commission, during the hearing dated 28.04.2022 sought clarification on the issue of RoE and accordingly RVUN approached GoR vide letter dated 06.05.2022 and submitted the same to the Commission vide submission dated 11.05.2022. RVUN rigorously took up the matter with GoR for issue of clear direction for claiming RoE in tariff for FY 2022-23. After receiving oral consent from GoR, RVUN vide letter dated 17.06.2022 sought two weeks' time for submission of GoR clarification however, the Commission, without taking the same into cognizance, had issued the Order on 23.06.2022 whereas the GoR clarification was issued on 27.06.2022. In view of the above, the matter of RoE is not a new fact and therefore, review is admissible under Section 94(1)(f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 and clause (c) of Rule 1 of CPC of Order no. XL VII under the provision of "any other sufficient reason".
35. The Energy Department, GoR vide letter dated 27.06.2022 issued fresh directions for claiming RoE for FY 2022-23. The relevant extract is as under:
- "1. FD agree to allow RoE@15% for FY 2022-23 to RVUNL*
- 2. The administrative directions about utilization of the RoE will also be issued subsequently, i.e. the equity contribution on behalf of Govt. of Rajasthan in proposed Ultra Supercritical Thermal Power Projects will be provided out of RoE being allowed as above."*
36. It is pertinent to mention that non-approval of RoE for FY 2022-23 till true-up, the equity funding and consequently the debt funding of major works such as FGD and new projects would be stalled. The works of FGD and new projects have to be completed by the stipulated timelines. Therefore, the Commission may approve RoE for FY 2022-23 in the tariff itself so that the same could be utilized for equity funding for FGD and new projects in

compliance to GoR directions dated 26.04.2022 and 13.04.2022.

37. In view of above, RVUN has requested the Commission to allow RoE for FY 2022-23 as non-approval of RoE would lead to additional working capital requirement and further hampers the financial health and ranking of RVUN, which consequently effects in increase of interest rates by the financial institutions/banks. RVUN requested the Commission to allow RoE of Rs. 726.09 Crore for FY 2022-23 and consequentially revise the ARR & Tariff.

### **Respondents Objections/Comments**

38. The Petitioner is seeking review of the Commission's Order dated 23.06.2022 based on the GoR correspondence dated 27.06.2022, which has been issued after the date of the Commission's Order and therefore is outside the purview of the review jurisdiction. As per clause (a) of CPC rules, the review can only be filed on the discovery of new and important matter or evidence which after exercise of due diligence was not in the knowledge of the applicant and could not be produced by him at the time when the decree or passed. In the present case, the document itself has been issued post the issue of the Commission's Order and therefore, is outside the purview of the review jurisdiction.
39. It is a settled proposition of law that even if two views are possible and the authority takes one possible view, it cannot be said to be an error apparent on the face of the record. The Commission has taken appropriate view and hence, the exercise of discretion by the Commission is not amenable to review jurisdiction as claimed by the Petitioner. The Petitioner is invoking the appellate jurisdiction under the guise of Review Petition. Hence, the Review Petition is liable to be dismissed.

## **Stakeholder's Objections/Comments**

40. The Commission in the order dated 23.06.2022 after considering the submissions of RVUN and material placed on record for allowing RoE for FY 2022-23 had granted liberty to RVUN to claim the ROE in the true up petition for FY 2022-23 after getting the requisite documents from GOR. The Commission observed as under:

*"5.46 The Commission has considered the submissions of RVUN for allowing RoE from FY 2022-23 onwards, however, the Petitioner has not submitted any specific document from the GOR stating that the RoE for RVUN from FY 2022-23 may be allowed. Therefore, the Commission for the purpose of this Order has not considered the same. However, the Commission grants liberty to the Petitioner to come up with the aforesaid document from GOR for its claim on ROE during the true-up Petition for FY 2022-23."*

41. Therefore, in light of above, the GOR letter dated 27.06.2012 cannot be a ground for review as the issue has already been considered and liberty has been granted to the Petitioner to claim the ROE in true up petition.

42. Since, RVUN has neither pointed out any error apparent nor provided any new information, which satisfies the conditions for review of the impugned Order, the review sought by RVUN on this issue should be disallowed.

## **Commission's View**

43. It is observed that Commission during the proceedings of original petition, directed RVUN to submit letter from Government of Rajasthan allowing RVUN to claim RoE for FY 2022-23 for RVUN stations. The Petitioner, however, could not produce the required document and the Commission issued the order dated 23.06.2022 in above matter granting liberty to the Petitioner to come up with the aforesaid document from GOR for its claim on ROE during the true-up Petition for FY 2022-23.

44. The Petitioner has now submitted that during the proceedings of the original petition the matter was under consideration of the State Government. The GoR vide its letter dated 27.06.2022 has now allowed the petitioner to claim the RoE for FY 2022-23.
45. It is observed that since this issue was under consideration of the State Govt. during the proceedings of the petition and the requisite letter dated 27.06.2022 has been issued soon after the issuance of the order dated 23.06.2022. Further, as per RERC Tariff Regulations, 2019 generating companies are entitled for RoE @ 15% of equity base.
46. In view of above facts and circumstances, the Commission deems it appropriate to review the RoE approved for FY 2022-23 and allows the same considering the approved equity base and rate of RoE of 15% in accordance with the RERC Tariff Regulations, 2019.

**Table 1: RoE for FY 2022-23 (Rs. Crore)**

Station	FY 2022-23		
	Claimed in Petition No. 1980/22	Approved in order dated 23.06.2022	Revised Approved
KTPS (Units 1-7)	74.73	0.00	75.27
STPS (Units 1-6)	176.43	0.00	176.43
CTPP (Units 1-4)	160.08	0.00	160.06
KaTPP (Units 1-2)	246.66	0.00	246.46
RGTPS (270.50 MW)	42.63	0.00	42.31
Mahi	25.56	0.00	25.56
<b>Total</b>	<b>726.09</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>726.09</b>

47. Accordingly, the revised AFC and Summary of Tariff for FY 2022-23 is as shown in the table below:

**Table 2: AFC for FY 2022-23 (Rs. Crore)**

Station	KTPS(Units 1-7)			STPS(Units 1-6)			CTPP(Units 1-4)		
	Claimed in Petition No. 1980/22	Approved in order dated 23.06.2022	Revised Approved	Claimed in Petition No. 1980/22	Approved in order dated 23.06.2022	Revised Approved	Claimed in Petition No. 1980/22	Approved in order dated 23.06.2022	Revised Approved
O&M expenses	277.79	277.79	277.79	336.04	336.04	336.04	224.03	224.03	224.03
Depreciation	44.87	44.88	44.88	138.19	138.20	138.20	265.52	265.49	265.49
Interest on term loans & finance charges	17.08	17.00	17.00	24.04	23.94	23.94	229.46	185.50	185.50
Interest on working capital	83.72	82.77	83.72	122.85	120.61	122.85	68.70	66.12	68.15
Return on Equity	74.73	0.00	75.27	176.43	0.00	176.43	160.08	0.00	160.06
Insurance on fixed assets	7.77	7.77	7.77	9.43	9.43	9.43	6.28	6.28	6.28
Towards Terminal Benefits	74.25	74.25	74.25	68.34	68.34	68.34	36.19	36.19	36.19
Amortization	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.50	0.50	0.50
Minus: Non-tariff income	10.77	10.77	10.77	6.80	6.80	6.80	6.88	6.88	6.88
Minus: Adjustment of excess depreciation	25.71	25.70	25.70	114.15	114.15	114.15	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Annual Fixed Charges</b>	<b>543.89</b>	<b>468.15</b>	<b>544.38</b>	<b>754.62</b>	<b>575.86</b>	<b>754.52</b>	<b>983.88</b>	<b>777.23</b>	<b>939.32</b>
Net Generation (MU)	8145.77	8145.77	8145.77	9837.39	9837.39	9837.39	6558.26	6558.26	6558.26
<b>Per unit fixed cost (Rs./kWh) (indicative only)</b>	<b>0.67</b>	<b>0.57</b>	<b>0.67</b>	<b>0.77</b>	<b>0.59</b>	<b>0.77</b>	<b>1.50</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>1.43</b>

Station	KaTPP (Units 1-2)			RGTPS (270.50 MW)			Mahi		
	Claimed in Petition No. 1980/22	Approved in order dated 23.06.2022	Revised Approved	Claimed in Petition No. 1980/22	Approved in order dated 23.06.2022	Revised Approved	Claimed in Petition No. 1980/22	Approved in order dated 23.06.2022	Revised Approved
O&M expenses	241.95	241.95	241.95	49.39	49.39	49.39	19.33	19.33	19.33
Depreciation	404.80	404.50	404.50	41.90	41.34	41.34	4.43	4.42	4.42
Interest on term loans & finance charges	380.09	378.54	378.54	33.76	32.85	32.85	0.01	0.01	0.01
Interest on working capital	79.01	75.87	85.37	12.16	11.60	12.14	1.21	1.21	1.21
Return on Equity	246.66	0.00	246.46	42.63	0.00	42.31	25.56	25.56	25.56
Insurance on fixed assets	7.54	7.54	7.54	1.75	1.75	1.75	0.00	0.00	0.00
Towards Terminal Benefits	30.11	30.11	30.11	12.54	12.54	12.54	10.59	10.59	10.59
Amortization	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.01	0.01	0.01

Station	KaTPP (Units 1-2)			RGTPS (270.50 MW)			Mahi		
	Claimed in Petition No. 1980/22	Approved in order dated 23.06.2022	Revised Approved	Claimed in Petition No. 1980/22	Approved in order dated 23.06.2022	Revised Approved	Claimed in Petition No. 1980/22	Approved in order dated 23.06.2022	Revised Approved
Minus: Non-tariff income	4.72	4.72	4.72	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.12
Minus: Adjustment of excess depreciation	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Annual Fixed Charges</b>	<b>1386.28</b>	<b>1134.63</b>	<b>1390.59</b>	<b>194.10</b>	<b>149.42</b>	<b>192.27</b>	<b>61.03</b>	<b>35.13</b>	<b>61.01</b>
Net Generation (MU)	8266.90	8266.90	8266.90	1612.26	1612.26	1612.26	138.60	138.60	138.60
<b>Per unit fixed cost (Rs./kWh) (indicative only)</b>	<b>1.677</b>	<b>1.372</b>	<b>1.682</b>	<b>1.204</b>	<b>0.927</b>	<b>1.193</b>	<b>4.403</b>	<b>2.535</b>	<b>4.402</b>

**Table 2: Approved tariff for Mahi Hydel Power Project for FY 2022-23**

	Annual Fixed Charges (Rs. in Crore)	Net Energy (MU)	Energy Rate (Paise/kWh)	Energy Charges (Rs. in Crore)	Capacity Charges (Rs. in Crore)
Approved in Petition No 1980/22	35.13	138.60	0.30	4.16	30.98
Revised Approved	61.01	138.60	0.30	4.16	56.86

**Table 3: Approved tariff for FY 2022-23**

Station	Units	KTPS (Units 1-7)			STPS (Units 1-6)			CTPP (Units 1-4)		
		Claimed in Petition No. 1980/22	Approved in order dated 23.06.2022	Revised Approved	Claimed in Petition No. 1980/22	Approved in order dated 23.06.2022	Revised Approved	Claimed in Petition No. 1980/22	Approved in order dated 23.06.2022	Revised Approved
Fixed charges	Rs. Crore	543.89	468.15	544.38	754.62	575.86	754.52	983.88	777.23	939.32
Rate of fixed charges	Rs./kWh	0.67	0.57	0.67	0.77	0.59	0.77	1.50	1.19	1.43
Energy charges	Rs. Crore	2758.05	2758.05	2758.05	4150.92	4150.92	4150.92	1999.10	1999.10	1999.10
Rate of energy charges	Rs./kWh	3.39	3.39	3.39	4.22	4.22	4.22	3.05	3.05	3.05
<b>Total tariff</b>	<b>Rs./kWh</b>	<b>4.05</b>	<b>3.96</b>	<b>4.05</b>	<b>4.99</b>	<b>4.80</b>	<b>4.99</b>	<b>4.55</b>	<b>4.23</b>	<b>4.48</b>

Station	Units	KaTPP (Units 1-2)			RGTPS (270.50 MW)		
		Claimed in Petition 1980/22	Approved in order dated 23.06.2022	Revised Approved	Claimed in Petition 1980/22	Approved in order dated 23.06.2022	Revised Approved
Fixed charges	Rs. Crore	1386.28	1134.63	1390.59	194.10	149.42	192.27
Rate of fixed charges	Rs./kWh	1.68	1.37	1.68	1.20	0.93	1.19
Energy charges	Rs. Crore	2441.45	2441.45	2441.45	470.42	470.42	470.42
Rate of energy charges	Rs./kWh	2.95	2.95	2.95	2.92	2.92	2.92
<b>Total tariff</b>	<b>Rs./kWh</b>	<b>4.63</b>	<b>4.33</b>	<b>4.63</b>	<b>4.12</b>	<b>3.84</b>	<b>4.11</b>

48. The review petition stand disposed of in the above terms.

(Dr. Rajesh Sharma)  
Member

(Sh. Hemant Kumar Jain)  
Member

(Dr. B. N. Sharma)  
Chairman